

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/314,172	05/19/1999	HIROKI KANNO	016907/0967	4798	
75	90 08/27/2003				
FOLEY & LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET N W			EXAMINER		
			POKRZYWA, JOSEPH R		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 200075109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2622		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	$\cup$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <u>·</u>		A 12 A1 A1	I A !! 4/- \			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/314,172	KANNO ET AL.	·		
Oniçe Action	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE	of this communication one	Joseph R. Pokrzywa  ears on the cover sheet with the	2622			
Period for Reply	: Of this communication app	lears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence ac	iaress		
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availat after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified a  - Failure to reply within the set or ex	THIS COMMUNICATION.  ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: alling date of this communication.  bye is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period v  tended period for reply will, by statute  ter than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS acause the application to become ABANE date of this communication, even if time!	be timely filed  O) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this of			
	nmunication(s) filed on <u>11 J</u>	une 2003				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FIN</b>		is action is non-final.				
3) Since this applicati	on is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1		ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	re pending in the applicatio					
	im(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/a						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25</u> is/ar	-					
7) Claim(s) is/a	_					
8) Claim(s) are Application Papers	subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	objected to by the Examine	,				
	•	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the	Evaminer			
		e drawing(s) be held in abeyance				
<u> </u>			` '			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declarati	on is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	c) None of:					
1. Certified copi	es of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copi	es of the priority document	s have been received in Appl	ication No			
applicatio	n from the International Bu	rity documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rec		Stage		
		·		al application)		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
		c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (P' 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem		5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper Normal Patent Application (PT	· · · — —		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment was received on 6/11/03, and has been entered and made of record. Currently, **claims 19-25** are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/03, with respect to newly added **claim 19**, which incorporates the limitations of the now canceled claims 1 and 9, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding the newly added *claim 19*, which incorporate the now canceled claims 1 and 9, which the examiner notes were rejected in the previous Office action dated 3/13/03, as being unpatentable over Uda *et al.* (U.S. Patent Number 5,822,507) in view of Aoyama (U.S. Patent Number 5,361,141), which state on pages 5 and 6, that Aoyama fails to suggest synthesizing first image data with second image data and then forming the synthesized data on an image forming medium, as Aoyama teaches of displaying overlapped data on a screen as opposed to an image formation medium. The examiner notes that "an image formation medium" can be interpreted by one of ordinary skill in the art to be a display screen, since it is a medium that forms an image. Thus, Aoyama teaches of a synthesizing means (column 1, lines 10 through 47, and column 4, line 39 through column 5, line 14) that synthesizes the first image data obtained by the image processing means with the second image data produced by the operating condition image producing means (being the edit area and

Application/Control Number: 09/314,172 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

content, which is produced by the edit pad 15, column 5, line 47 through column 6, line 23) and provides resultant synthesized image data in a case where the designating means designates synthesis and provides only the first image data obtained by the image processing means in other cases (Fig. 3(a)-3(i), column 6, line 55 through column 7, line 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include Aoyama's teachings of a synthesizing means in Uda's system, since both Uda and Aoyama teach of the second image data being for determining, enhancing, and correcting the image quality of the first image.

3. Therefore, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Uda *et al.* in view of Aoyama, is maintained for newly added claim 19, with a full discussion appearing below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uda et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,822,507, cited in the Office action dated 3/13/03) in view of Aoyama
- (U.S. Patent Number 5,361,141, cited in the Office action dated 3/13/03).

Regarding *claim 19*, Uda discloses an image forming apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising means (scanners 103a and 103b) for reading a document and providing image data on the document as first image data (column 4, lines 8 through 12), means (scanner print server 102) for

Application/Control Number: 09/314,172

Art Unit: 2622

processing the first image data provided by the reading means (column 4, lines 8 through 65), means (printers 104a-d) for forming an image on an image formation medium corresponding to input image data (column 3, line 52 through column 4, line 12), means for producing image data as second image data (server process 107), indicative of at least one of operating conditions which determine image quality of the reading means, the image processing means and the image forming means (column 11, lines 4 through column 12, line 47), means for controlling the image forming means (host computer 101) to form an image corresponding to the first and second image data synthesized by the synthesizing means on the image formation medium (Figs. 1-6, 14-20, Abstract, column 2, lines 35-49, column 3, line 35 through column 5, line 17).

However, Uda fails to specifically teach of a synthesizing means for synthesizing the first image data processed by the image processing means with the second image data, and of a means for designating whether or not the first image data obtained by the image processing means should be synthesized with the second image data produced by the operating condition image producing means, and if the synthesizing means synthesizes the first image data obtained by the image processing means with the second image data produced by the operating condition image producing means and provides resultant synthesized image data in a case where the designating means designates synthesis and provides only the first image data obtained by the image processing means in other cases. Aoyama discloses an image forming apparatus (Fig. 2) comprising reading means (IIT 25) for providing a first image data (column 5, lines 15 and 16), image processing means (IPS 26, column 5, lines 15 through 22), image forming means (display 19 and IOT 27, column 5, lines 15 through 35), operating condition image producing means (edit pad 15) for producing a second image data (editing instructions, column 5, line 47 through

Art Unit: 2622

column 6, line 23), synthesizing means for synthesizing the first image data processed by the image processing means with the second image data (column 1, lines 10 through 47, and column 4, line 39 through column 5, line 14), means (CPU 11) for controlling the image forming means (display 19) to form an image corresponding to the first and second image data synthesized by the synthesizing means on the image formation medium (Figs. 1b and 3, column 4, line 39 through column 6, line 7, column 6, line 55 through column 7, line 39). Further, Aoyama teaches of means (keyboard switch interface 16 and mouse 22) for designating whether or not the first image data obtained by the image processing means should be synthesized with the second image data produced by the operating condition image producing means (column 5, line 36 through column 6, line 54), and the synthesizing means synthesizes the first image data obtained by the image processing means with the second image data produced by the operating condition image producing means and provides resultant synthesized image data in a case where the designating means designates synthesis and provides only the first image data obtained by the image processing means in other cases (Fig. 3(a)-3(i), column 6, line 55 through column 7, line 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Aoyama's teachings in the system of Uda. Uda's system would easily be modified to incorporate Aoyama's teachings, since both Uda and Aoyama teach of the second image data being for determining, enhancing, and correcting the image quality of the first image.

Regarding *claims 20 and 21*, Uda and Aoyama disclose the apparatus discussed in claim 19, and Uda further teaches that the operating condition image processing means includes means for producing a character image data and a pattern code image data indicative of the operating

Application/Control Number: 09/314,172

Art Unit: 2622

conditions (column 1, line 59 through column 2, line 22, column 12, lines 31 through 51, and column 19, line 55 through column 20, line 44).

Regarding *claim 22*, Uda and Aoyama disclose the apparatus discussed in claim 19, and Uda further teaches that the operating condition image processing means includes means for producing an image data indicative of input conditions of the reading means (column 1, line 59 through column 2, line 22, column 12, lines 31 through 51, and column 19, line 55 through column 20, line 44).

Regarding *claim 23*, Uda and Aoyama discloses the apparatus discussed in claim 19, and Uda further teaches that the operating condition image processing means includes means for producing an image data indicative of a resolution and sampling rate of the reading means (column 1, line 59 through column 2, line 22, column 12, lines 31 through 51, and column 19, line 55 through column 20, line 54).

Regarding *claim 24*, Uda and Aoyama discloses the apparatus discussed in claim 19, and Uda further teaches that the operating condition image processing means includes means for producing an image data indicative of output conditions of the image forming means (column 1, line 59 through column 2, line 22, column 12, lines 31 through 51, and column 19, line 55 through column 20, line 44).

Regarding *claim 25*, Uda and Aoyama discloses the apparatus discussed in claim 19, and Uda further teaches that the operating condition image processing means includes means for producing an image data indicative of processing conditions of the image processing means (column 1, line 59 through column 2, line 22, column 12, lines 31 through 51, and column 19, line 55 through column 20, line 44).

Application/Control Number: 09/314,172

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Page 7

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joe Pokrzywa whose telephone number is (703) 305-0146. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (703) 305-4712. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

J.R.P.

Joseph R. Pokrzywa

Examiner

Art Unit 2622

EDWARD COLES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

jrp